

☞ How to Interpret the Coefficient of Determination,  $R^2$ :

\_\_\_\_\_ % of the variation in **dependent variable** is accounted for by the linear relationship with **independent variable**.

☞ How to Interpret a  $p$ -value for a Test of Significance:

*(Note that the  $p$ -value is a conditional probability. A low probability indicates that the given condition ( $H_0$ ) is not likely to be true and therefore should be rejected.)*

Assuming **null hypothesis** is true, about \_\_\_\_\_ out of every \_\_\_\_\_ samples will produce a **statistic** at least this extreme due to chance variation.

OR

Assuming **null hypothesis** is true, the probability that our sample would produce a **statistic** at least as extreme as the one we observed is about **p-value**. This is (or is not, if  $p$ -value is high) too unlikely to have occurred by chance.

☞ How to Write a Conclusion for a Test of Significance:

*(Note that the conclusion should always be stated in terms of the alternative hypothesis, whether the null was rejected or not. The  $p$ -value either provides enough evidence to support the alternative hypothesis, or it does not provide enough evidence to support the alternative hypothesis.)*

*For a one-sample one-sided test:*

There is (or is not, if you fail to reject  $H_0$ ) strong enough evidence to conclude that **parameter** is significantly higher (or lower, if the alternative is “less than”) than  **$\mu_0$** .

*For a one-sample two-sided test:*

There is (or is not, if you fail to reject  $H_0$ ) strong enough evidence to conclude that **parameter** is significantly different than  **$\mu_0$** .

*For a two-sample one-sided test:*

There is (or is not, if you fail to reject  $H_0$ ) strong enough evidence to conclude that **parameter #1** is significantly higher (or lower, if the alternative is “less than”) than **parameter #2**.

*For a two-sample two-sided test:*

There is (or is not, if you fail to reject  $H_0$ ) strong enough evidence to conclude that there is a significant difference between **parameter #1** and **parameter #2**.

☞ How to Interpret a Confidence Interval:

*(Note that the sample statistic is always at the center of the interval, and that the margin of error is half the width of the interval.)*

*For a one-sample interval:*

We are C % confident that parameter is between about lowerbound and upperbound units because C % of all samples of size n will produce a statistic that is within ME of the true parameter.

OR

We are C % confident that parameter is between about lowerbound and upperbound units because C % of all samples of size n will produce a confidence interval that captures the true parameter.

*For a two-sample interval:*

We are C % confident that parameter #1 is between about lowerbound and upperbound units more than (or less than) parameter #2 because C % of all samples of size n will produce an observed difference that is within ME of the true difference.

OR

We are C % confident that parameter #1 is between about lowerbound and upperbound units more than (or less than) parameter #2 because C % of all samples of size n will produce a confidence interval that captures the true difference.

OR

We are C % confident that the difference between parameter #1 and parameter #2 is between about lowerbound and upperbound units because C % of all samples of size n will produce an observed difference that is within ME of the true difference.

OR

We are C % confident that the difference between parameter #1 and parameter #2 is between about lowerbound and upperbound units because C % of all samples of size n will produce a confidence interval that captures the true difference.